UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,469	03/01/2004	Fayez Zakaria	93249pus	2017
6431 LANG MICHE	7590 05/23/200 NER LLP	EXAMINER		
Brookfield Place			TRAN, HANH VAN	
	SUITE 2500, 181 BAY STREET TORONTO, ON M5J 2T7		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			3637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/788,469	ZAKARIA ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HANH V. TRAN	3637			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Fina</u>	a <u>l</u>			
(1) <u>HANH V. TRAN</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Mr. Kevin Holbeche</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 22 May 2008	Time: <u>3:15</u>				
Type of Interview:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>					
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/788,469

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted to determine whether or not a response to the Office action mailed on 11/15/2007 has been filed. Applicant's representative informed the examiner that no such response has been filed; thus, the application is to go abandoned.